# DIS381: CONSTRUCTION OF NORTH ROAD/SANFORD RD ROUNDABOUT

Proponent / Owner : City of Albany.

Business Entity Name : City of Albany.

Attachments : Commercial in Confidence - Confidential Briefing Note

under separate cover

Report Prepared By : Civil Infrastructure Project Officer (R Cations)

Authorising Officer: : Executive Director Infrastructure, Development &

Environment (P Camins)

# CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT

It is recommended that if discussion is required in regards to details contained within the Confidential Attachment, that the matters are discussed behind closed doors, in accordance with section 5.23(2)(c) & (e)(ii) of the Local Government Act 1995, being: a contract which may be entered into and information that has commercial value.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

- 1. This item relates to the following elements of the City of Albany Strategic Community Plan or Corporate Business Plan informing plans or strategies:
  - Pillar: Place.
  - Outcome: A safe, sustainable and efficient transport network.
  - **Objective**: Improve road safety, connectivity and traffic flow.

# In Brief:

- Following a competitive tender process, and securing of additional funds, Council
  approval is sought to award Tender C23030 Construction of Range Road
  Roundabout.
- Two (2) complying tenders were received, with Tenderer A being the recommended contractor.
- Construction is expected to commence in mid-January 2024 with completion in late April 2024.

## RECOMMENDATION

DIS381: AUTHORISING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION 1 VOTING REQUIREMENT: ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

THAT Council amend the Budget as follows in order for Job Number 2867 (Range Road Roundabout Stage 1A) to increase from \$1,640,368 to \$2,727,976:

- 1. TRANSFER \$87,608 from Job Number 1350 (Range Road Stage 1A Potts Way Construction) to Job 2867; and
- 2. TRANSFER \$1,000,000 from Account 13339 (Transfer from Roadworks, Paths and Drainage Reserve) to Job 2867.

DIS381: AUTHORISING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION 2 VOTING REQUIREMENT: SIMPLE MAJORITY

THAT Council AWARD Contract C23030 Construction of Range Road Roundabout to the tenderer recommended by the evaluation panel, as detailed in the Confidential Briefing Note attached to this report.

DIS381 1 DIS381

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 2. The intersection of Sanford Road and North Road regularly appears on the top ten worst intersections in regional WA and is considered the worst local government managed intersection in the municipality of Albany.
- 3. The City of Albany received federal Local Roads and Community Infrastructure (LRCI) funding and allocated it to the construction of a roundabout at the intersection of North Road and Sanford Road, aligning with the Local Planning Policy: Yakamia / Lange Structure Plan. LRCI funding covers 100% contribution for the construction.
- 4. This roundabout will improve road safety, connectivity and traffic flow at the intersection and will allow for future connection to the north (Range Road), to provide opportunity for continued residential development in Yakamia and Lange.
- 5. The future Range Road will ultimately provide strategic links to Lower King and South Coast Highway to the east.
- 6. The proposed work involves the construction of a roundabout with associated piped drainage and concrete footpath, linking to existing North Road to the east and west and Sanford Road to the south.
- 7. Design and specification documentation was prepared by the City of Albany to the stage of 'Issued for Tender'.
- 8. The tender was open from 15<sup>th</sup> November 2023 to 6<sup>th</sup> December 2023.

#### **DISCUSSION**

- 9. A total of Eleven (11) tender documents were issued by City of Albany.
- 10. Two (2) complete tender documents were submitted on or before the stipulated closing date and time.
- 11. The tenders were evaluated using the weighted attributes methodology. This method scores the evaluation criteria and weights their importance to determine an overall points score for each tender. The criteria are tabled below:

| Qualitative Criteria            | Weighting (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Cost                            | 45            |
| Relevant Experience             | 25            |
| Demonstrated Understanding      | 25            |
| Corporate Social Responsibility | 5             |
| Total                           | 100           |

12. The following table summarises the tenderers and their weighted scores:

| Tenderer   | Total Score | Rank |
|------------|-------------|------|
| Tenderer A | 573.26      | 1    |
| Tenderer B | 500.08      | 2    |

- 13. All Tenderers claimed the Buy Local Policy reduction.
- 14. Tenderer A ranked highest with the highest score of 573.26.
- 15. From the evaluation scoring Tenderer A are the preferred tenderer and consequently it is recommended that their tender be accepted and the contract be awarded.

**DIS381** 2 **DIS381** 

#### **GOVERNMENT & PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

16. A request for tenders was published in *The West Australian* on Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> November 2023 and *The Albany Extra* on Friday 17<sup>th</sup> November 2023.

## STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 17. Regulation 11 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 (Regulations) requires Council to publicly tender if the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more than \$250,000.
- 18. Regulation 18 of the Regulations outlines a number of requirements relating to choice of tender. Council is to decide which of the acceptable tenders is the most advantageous to Council. It may also decline to accept any tender.
- 19. Regulation 19 of the Regulations requires Council to advise each tenderer in writing the result of Council's decision.
- 20. Voting requirement for Authorising Officer Recommendation is **Absolute Majority**.
- 21. Voting requirement for Authorising Officer Recommendation 2 is **Simple Majority**.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

- 22. Council's Purchasing Policy (Tenders & Quotes) and Buy Local Policy (Regional Price Preference) are applicable to this item.
- 23. The value of this tender is in excess of \$500,000 and therefore Council approval is required as this exceeds the CEO's delegation.

#### **RISK IDENTIFICATION & MITIGATION**

24. The risk identification and categorisation relies on the City's Enterprise Risk and Opportunity Management Framework.

| Risk   | Likelihood | Consequence | Risk<br>Analysis | Mitigation   |
|--|------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| People Health and Safety Risk: Risk to road users, particularly those turning right from Sanford Road onto North Road. | Possible   | Severe      | High             | Construction of roundabout will facilitate better traffic flow and improved safety for road users. |

**Opportunity:** To create a strategic link via future Range Road to provide further opportunity for development in Yakamia and Lange per structure plan.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 25. The value of this tender is in excess of \$500,000 and therefore approval is referred to Council for consideration.
- 26. More detailed information regarding the financial implications are contained in the Confidential Briefing Note.
- 27. Funding for this project has been received from the Department of Transports Local Roads and Community Infrastructure (LRCI) program as allocated in the 2023-2024 budget.
- 28. An additional request of \$1,087,608 is being requested. This will cover the cost of the works, service diversions, project management costs plus a small amount to cover any unforeseen costs.
- 29. It is proposed that the additional budget required is transferred from Job Number 1350 Range Road Stage 1A Potts Way Construction (current budget of \$87,608) and account 13339 Transfer from Roadworks, Paths and Drainage Reserve (current budget of \$1,891,833).

**DIS381** 3 **DIS381** 

## **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

30. There are no legal implications associated with this item.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- 31. Vegetation clearing as part of this contract is considered to be minimal, of low impact and is exempt from any required referrals or approvals.
- 32. Removal of six trees is required. Alternative designs were investigated to try and mitigate the removal of the tree however, site constraints are such that this is unavoidable.

## **ALTERNATE OPTIONS**

- 33. The options are:
  - a. Council may elect to accept the recommended tender; or
  - b. Not approve any tender.

## CONCLUSION

- 34. The City has undergone a competitive process in line with the relevant legislation and established policies.
- 35. It is recommended, based on the evaluation scoring, clarification and financial check processes that the tender be awarded to Tenderer A.
- 36. In order to award the tender an increase in budget of \$1,000,000 is required.

| Consulted References | <ul> <li>Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996</li> <li>Council Policy – Purchasing</li> <li>Council Policy – Buy Local (Regional Price Preference)</li> </ul> |
|----------------------|--|
| File Number          | C23030   |
| Previous Reference   | N/A  |

DIS381 4 DIS381